Absolute Egalitarianism: A Macroeconomic Simulation of Perfect Global Wealth and Future Income Distribution

Douglas C. Youvan

doug@youvan.com

www.youvan.ai

May 27, 2026

What would happen if the world's estimated 500 trillion dollars in total wealth were liquidated and distributed equally to every living person, accompanied by a mandate that all future income remains perfectly equal? This thought experiment, representing the absolute limit of economic egalitarianism, offers a unique lens through which to examine the foundational mechanisms of global markets, human incentive structures, and value assignment. While such a scenario would instantly eradicate absolute poverty by providing an initial per capita net worth of approximately 62,500 dollars, standard macroeconomic modeling suggests catastrophic systemic failures would rapidly follow. This paper explores the theoretical trajectory of a perfectly equalized global economy. We examine the immediate demand shock as billions of individuals suddenly possess the capital to secure essential resources, leading to unprecedented hyperinflation in foundational sectors like agriculture and housing. Furthermore, the paper analyzes the long-term consequences of decoupling labor from variable financial reward, predicting a complete collapse of complex supply chains, the stalling of technological innovation, and the inevitable rise of shadow economies. By simulating absolute equality, this analysis ultimately highlights the necessary economic role of controlled inequality in sustaining modern global civilization.

Keywords: global wealth distribution, absolute egalitarianism, macroeconomic simulation, demand shock, hyperinflation, incentive collapse, supply chain paralysis, shadow economy, alternative currency.

A collaboration with Google Gemini-Pro. CC4.0.

Outline

1. Introduction to Absolute Egalitarianism 1.1 The Premise of Perfect Wealth and Income Distribution 1.2 Scope and Methodology of the Theoretical Simulation

2. The Mathematical Foundation of Global Equalization 2.1 Calculating the Universal Baseline 2.2 The Asset Liquidity and Valuation Problem

3. Day One: The Unprecedented Demand Shock 3.1 The Eradication of Absolute Poverty 3.2 Immediate Shifts in Global Consumer Behavior

4. Hyperinflation and the Pricing of Essential Goods 4.1 Supply Inelasticity in Agriculture and Basic Housing 4.2 The Mathematical Ceiling on Purchasing Power

5. The Collapse of Luxury and Non-Essential Markets 5.1 Evaporation of High-Tier Capital Assets 5.2 Capital Destruction in Specialized Industries

6. The Labor Market and the Incentive Problem 6.1 Decoupling Effort from Compensation 6.2 The Vacuum in High-Risk and High-Skill Professions

7. Systemic Failure of Global Supply Chains 7.1 Critical Infrastructure and the Maintenance Deficit 7.2 The Halt of Global Logistics and Shipping

8. The Stagnation of Technological and Medical Innovation 8.1 The Risk-Reward Asymmetry in Research and Development 8.2 Capital Allocation in a Perfectly Flat Economy

9. The Breakdown of Institutional Finance and Investment 9.1 The End of Debt and Credit Markets 9.2 Dissolution of Corporate Structures and Stock Exchanges

10. Human Nature and the Emergence of Shadow Economies 10.1 Barter Systems and Alternative Currencies 10.2 The Rapid Re-establishment of Inequality

11. Geopolitical Consequences and the Role of the State 11.1 Enforcing the Unenforceable State Mechanisms 11.2 The Collapse of National Borders and Sovereign Economies

12. Conclusion: The Paradox of Perfect Equality 12.1 Summary of Systemic Failures 12.2 Lessons for Modern Policy and Wealth Redistribution

13. References

Art

1. Introduction to Absolute Egalitarianism

The concept of wealth redistribution has been a central pillar of economic philosophy, political science, and social theory for centuries. From the early utopian socialists to modern proponents of universal basic income and progressive taxation, the mitigation of wealth inequality is often positioned as a primary mechanism for achieving societal stability and moral justice. However, economic discourse rarely explores the absolute logical extreme of these ideologies. Absolute egalitarianism, in the context of this paper, is defined not as a progressive tax system or a robust social safety net, but as the instantaneous, perfect, and perpetual equalization of all global wealth and future income. By treating this extreme scenario as a macroeconomic simulation, we can isolate and observe the foundational mechanisms that drive human behavior, value assignment, and complex market economies.

To understand the architecture of the modern global economy, one must understand the function of disparity. Wealth inequality, while often criticized for its social consequences, serves as the primary engine for price discovery, resource allocation, and labor incentivization in a free or mixed market system. By running a theoretical simulation in which this disparity is artificially forced to zero and held there permanently, we expose the underlying structural dependencies of global supply chains and human enterprise. This paper does not seek to make a moral judgment on wealth distribution but rather treats absolute egalitarianism as a stress test for macroeconomic theory, examining how fundamental laws of supply, demand, and human incentive react when the variable of financial reward is completely neutralized.

1.1 The Premise of Perfect Wealth and Income Distribution

The premise of this simulation rests on two simultaneous and absolute conditions. The first condition is the perfect redistribution of all existing global wealth. This requires the theoretical liquidation and pooling of every asset on Earth. This pool includes all fiat currency, physical gold, real estate, agricultural land, corporate equity, intellectual property, natural resources, and private possessions. According to contemporary estimates, the total value of global wealth hovers around 500 trillion dollars. In this simulation, this incomprehensibly large pool of capital is divided equally among the approximately 8 billion human beings currently alive, resulting in a perfectly equal starting net worth of roughly 62,500 dollars per capita. Every individual, from a newborn infant to an elderly retiree, receives the exact same share of the global portfolio.

The second, and arguably more disruptive, condition is the permanent equalization of all future money and income. It is a well-documented economic phenomenon that if you distribute wealth equally but leave the mechanisms of income and capital accumulation intact, Pareto distributions will quickly reassert themselves, and wealth will concentrate back into the hands of a minority. To prevent this and maintain absolute egalitarianism, our simulation imposes a

strict mandate: all future economic output, wages, yields, and profits are instantly pooled and distributed equally. No matter how many hours an individual works, what skills they possess, what risks they take, or what innovations they produce, their daily income will perfectly match the global average. Conversely, an individual who produces nothing will receive the exact same daily income.

This dual-pronged premise eradicates the concept of personal financial mobility. It represents a reality where capital cannot be concentrated to fund massive industrial projects, where labor cannot be leveraged for personal gain, and where the financial incentive to defer consumption in favor of investment is entirely destroyed. By establishing this rigid framework, we create a controlled environment to study the immediate and long-term systemic reactions of the global market.

1.2 Scope and Methodology of the Theoretical Simulation

The scope of this paper encompasses the cascading macroeconomic, sociological, and logistical consequences that would follow the exact moment of global equalization, referred to hereafter as Day One. The methodology relies on applied microeconomic and macroeconomic principles, utilizing established theories of supply and demand elasticity, price signaling, utility maximization, and behavioral economics to forecast the trajectory of this perfectly flat economy.

To conduct this analysis, we must employ a specific theoretical constraint: the assumption of frictionless redistribution. In reality, liquidating all global assets and distributing them equally is practically and logistically impossible. The transaction costs, the inability to fractionalize certain physical assets like a factory or a dam, and the lack of a centralized global authority capable of enforcing such an action make it a physical impossibility. Therefore, this simulation treats the redistribution as an instantaneous, frictionless event—an economic magic wand. This abstraction is necessary to bypass logistical impossibilities and focus purely on the economic aftermath.

The analysis is structured chronologically and systematically. We will begin by examining the immediate demand shock on Day One, analyzing how the sudden eradication of absolute poverty and the influx of purchasing power to billions of people will impact the pricing and availability of inelastic essential goods. We will then transition to the medium-term collapse of high-end and luxury markets due to the eradication of concentrated capital. Following the demand-side analysis, the paper will pivot to the supply side, exploring the catastrophic breakdown of the labor market when wages are decoupled from effort, skill, and risk. We will track how this labor vacuum leads to the systemic failure of global supply chains, critical infrastructure, and technological innovation. Finally, we will examine the behavioral response of

human beings to this system, predicting the inevitable and rapid emergence of shadow economies, alternative currencies, and black markets as society naturally attempts to circumvent a system that refuses to recognize differential value. Through this methodological lens, the paper aims to provide a comprehensive roadmap of an economy descending from forced utopian equality into functional collapse.

2. The Mathematical Foundation of Global Equalization

To properly evaluate the macroeconomic consequences of absolute egalitarianism, we must first establish the exact mathematical parameters of the simulation. This requires drawing a strict distinction between wealth, which is a stock of accumulated assets at a specific point in time, and income, which is a flow of economic value generated over a period of time. Our premise dictates that both the stock and the flow are perfectly equalized. To calculate the universal baseline, we must aggregate current global macroeconomic data and distribute it across the global population. However, as this section will demonstrate, applying simple division to complex global asset valuations reveals a fundamental paradox in how wealth is measured and maintained. The mathematics of equalization highlight the fragile, highly subjective nature of capital valuation in a modern market economy.

2.1 Calculating the Universal Baseline

The first step in our mathematical model is calculating the universal baseline for accumulated wealth. According to global wealth reports, the total aggregate wealth of the world, encompassing all financial assets and tangible assets minus household debt, is estimated to be approximately 500 trillion US dollars. This figure includes fiat currency in circulation, bank deposits, real estate, corporate equity, government bonds, and privately held businesses. The current global population stands at approximately 8 billion individuals.

Using simple arithmetic, we establish the individual baseline wealth equation: W / P = Bw
Where W is Total Global Wealth (500,000,000,000,000), P is Global Population (8,000,000,000), and Bw is Baseline Wealth.

Solving this equation yields a Bw of 62,500 dollars. On Day One of our simulation, every man, woman, and child receives exactly this amount in net worth.

The second step requires calculating the equalized flow of future money, or income. To model this, we use Gross World Product (GWP), which is the combined gross national income of all countries, representing the total economic output of humanity. Current estimates place GWP at roughly 100 trillion dollars annually.

The individual baseline income equation is: Y / P = Bi Where Y is Gross World Product (100,000,000,000,000), P is Global Population (8,000,000,000), and Bi is Baseline Income.

Solving this yields an annual Bi of 12,500 dollars per person, which breaks down to approximately 34.24 dollars per day. Under the mandate of absolute egalitarianism, this 34.24 dollars is the strict daily income limit for every human being, regardless of their labor output. For a subsistence farmer in a developing nation, this represents a monumental increase in daily cash flow. For a median earner in a developed western nation, this represents an immediate and catastrophic plunge below the regional poverty line.

Therefore, the mathematical starting point of our simulation provides every individual with a static net worth of 62,500 dollars and a fixed daily income of 34.24 dollars. However, taking these numbers at face value commits a severe error in economic reasoning. It assumes that the valuation of the global wealth pool remains static when the distribution of that wealth changes, an assumption that violates the core mechanics of asset liquidity.

2.2 The Asset Liquidity and Valuation Problem

The most critical flaw in the 62,500 dollar baseline wealth figure is the assumption of liquidity. Global wealth is not comprised of 500 trillion dollars in cash sitting in bank vaults. Broad money supply, or M2, which includes cash and easily accessible bank deposits, accounts for only a fraction of total global wealth, roughly 80 to 100 trillion dollars. The vast majority of the 500 trillion dollar valuation is locked in highly illiquid assets such as commercial real estate, industrial infrastructure, intellectual property, and equity in publicly traded and private corporations.

When we state that every person receives 62,500 dollars in wealth, they are not receiving a bank transfer of 62,500 fiat dollars. Instead, they are receiving an abstraction: a heavily fractionalized portfolio containing micro-shares of the global supply of currency, real estate, and corporate assets.

This introduces the valuation problem. In a standard market economy, the value of an asset is determined by marginal pricing, meaning the asset is worth whatever the highest bidder is willing and able to pay for it at a given time. If a commercial skyscraper is valued at one billion dollars, that valuation exists solely because there are entities in the market, such as investment banks or billionaires, who possess the concentrated capital necessary to purchase it.

Absolute egalitarianism eradicates concentrated capital. On Day One, the absolute maximum purchasing power of any single entity on Earth is 62,500 dollars. Consequently, the market for any asset priced above 62,500 dollars instantly vanishes. There are no buyers for a one billion dollar skyscraper, a fifty million dollar factory, or even a five hundred thousand dollar suburban home.

In economic terms, the demand curve for high-value illiquid assets shifts to zero. Because value is subjective and determined by exchange, an asset that cannot be sold has a market value of zero. Therefore, the instant global wealth is equalized, the 500 trillion dollar total valuation of the world evaporates. The global wealth figure is not an intrinsic physical property of the Earth's resources; it is a phantom metric that can only exist in a system that permits extreme capital concentration. By distributing wealth perfectly evenly, the simulation destroys the very pricing mechanism that allowed that wealth to be measured in the first place, leaving humanity with an equal share of assets that no one can legally afford to buy or sell.

3. Day One: The Unprecedented Demand Shock

The theoretical implementation of absolute egalitarianism would trigger an economic event unparalleled in human history. The moment the equalization mandate goes into effect, which we refer to as Day One, the global economy would undergo an instantaneous and violent restructuring of aggregate demand. To understand the magnitude of this shock, we must examine the concept of the marginal propensity to consume. In macroeconomic theory, the marginal propensity to consume measures how much consumption increases when income increases. For highly affluent individuals, this metric is low; an additional thousand dollars does not significantly change their daily consumption of calories or essential goods. For individuals living in extreme poverty, the marginal propensity to consume is practically absolute, meaning every additional unit of currency is immediately directed toward essential survival goods. By flattening the global wealth distribution, the simulation executes a massive wealth transfer from demographics with a low marginal propensity to consume to demographics with an extremely high marginal propensity to consume. The resulting demand shock would instantly overwhelm the productive capacity of the planet.

3.1 The Eradication of Absolute Poverty

Prior to the equalization event, a significant portion of the global population existed below the poverty line, with billions surviving on less than a few dollars per day. On Day One, these individuals experience an astronomical amplification of their purchasing power. While much of their new 62,500 dollar net worth is locked in illiquid fractionalized assets as discussed in the previous section, the liquid portion of this wealth, combined with the new guaranteed baseline income of 34.24 dollars per day, completely alters their economic reality.

For the first time, billions of individuals simultaneously possess the financial means to demand adequate caloric intake, clean drinking water, basic sanitation supplies, fundamental textiles, and essential medicines. From a humanitarian perspective, this represents the instantaneous

eradication of absolute poverty. From a macroeconomic perspective, it represents a catastrophic demand-side shock.

The global agricultural and manufacturing sectors are scaled to meet effective demand, not absolute human need. Effective demand is the desire for a product backed by the ability to pay for it. For decades, the global supply of basic food staples like rice, wheat, and soybeans has been calibrated to an environment where billions of people simply could not afford to eat more than a subsistence diet. On Day One, the effective demand for fundamental calories multiplies overnight. People who previously consumed one meager meal a day will immediately attempt to purchase three adequate meals. Individuals lacking shoes or basic winter clothing will enter the market to procure them. The immediate physical effect is a run on basic commodities across the developing world and emerging markets, as newfound purchasing power seeks to convert currency into tangible survival assets.

3.2 Immediate Shifts in Global Consumer Behavior

The demand shock is not isolated to the developing world. In developed nations, consumer behavior undergoes a rapid and highly destructive shift driven by a combination of diminished future prospects and sheer panic. Individuals in advanced economies who previously earned well above the 34.24 dollar daily average suddenly find their income drastically reduced. While they receive the same starting net worth as everyone else, their long-term purchasing power is mathematically capped. Recognizing that their future cash flow is severely constrained, and witnessing the immediate global run on essential commodities, consumers in developed nations will engage in aggressive hoarding behaviors.

This mass behavioral shift triggers an extreme version of the bullwhip effect within global logistics. The bullwhip effect describes how small fluctuations in retail demand cause progressively larger fluctuations in wholesale, distributor, and manufacturer supply chains. On Day One, the fluctuation is not small; it is total. Retail inventories for non-perishable food, water purification, hygiene products, and basic medical supplies would be depleted within a matter of hours.

Modern supply chains are heavily reliant on just-in-time inventory management. Retailers and regional distribution centers hold only enough stock to satisfy projected short-term demand based on historical data. This system maximizes capital efficiency but completely eliminates the buffers required to absorb a systemic shock. Because the distribution of wealth has suddenly empowered the entire global population to maximize their purchasing of essential goods simultaneously, the just-in-time logistics networks are instantly paralyzed.

Furthermore, the physical infrastructure of retail and distribution is spatially aligned with pre-existing wealth concentrations. Grocery stores, pharmacies, and fulfillment centers are built in

locations and at scales commensurate with the historical purchasing power of the local demographic. When purchasing power is equalized globally, the physical distribution of goods becomes completely misaligned with the new map of demand. A rural village that previously supported only a small local market now possesses the same aggregate financial demand for goods as a dense urban neighborhood, yet the physical logistics required to deliver those goods to the village do not exist. Therefore, Day One is characterized by a universal stockout of essential goods, paralyzing panic buying, and the immediate realization that possessing equal money does not equate to possessing equal resources.

4. Hyperinflation and the Pricing of Essential Goods

The immediate consequence of the Day One demand shock is the complete distortion of price discovery mechanisms for essential goods. In standard macroeconomic models, price acts as a signaling mechanism between buyers and sellers, balancing aggregate demand with aggregate supply to find a market-clearing equilibrium. When demand surges, prices rise, which theoretically incentivizes producers to increase supply while simultaneously pricing out a portion of the buyers, thereby restoring equilibrium. However, the simulation of absolute egalitarianism breaks this feedback loop. By uniformly empowering the entire global population with maximum marginal propensity to consume essential goods, the demand side of the equation scales vertically. The supply side, bound by the physical limitations of the natural world and industrial logistics, cannot follow. The result is not standard inflation, but a unique, mathematically constrained variant of hyperinflation that would rapidly consume the newly distributed wealth.

4.1 Supply Inelasticity in Agriculture and Basic Housing

To understand why production cannot rise to meet the new demand, we must examine the concept of price elasticity of supply. Supply elasticity measures how responsive the quantity supplied is to a change in price. In the short term, the supply of foundational human necessities, specifically agriculture and basic housing, is highly inelastic. No amount of sudden global wealth can alter the gestation period of livestock or the biological timeline of a wheat harvest.

Agricultural output is dictated by arable land area, seasonal cycles, and existing crop yields. Prior to the equalization event, global food production was optimized for a market where billions could only afford staple grains and minimal protein. With absolute egalitarianism, billions of people immediately attempt to upgrade their diets to include higher quality proteins, fresh produce, and processed foods. Because the supply of these goods is physically fixed for the current harvest cycle, the sudden influx of currency chasing a static number of calories leads

to an immediate bidding war. Sellers, observing their inventories vanishing, raise prices dramatically to prevent complete stockouts.

Housing suffers from a similar, yet geographically compounded, inelasticity problem. Basic shelter is geographically fixed. A vacant apartment in one hemisphere provides zero utility to a newly empowered consumer in another. The global distribution of adequate housing is deeply asymmetrical, heavily concentrated in developed nations and affluent urban centers. On Day One, the demand for adequate, climate-controlled shelter spikes globally. However, constructing new housing requires raw materials, heavy machinery, specialized labor, and time, none of which can be manifested instantaneously regardless of demand. Consequently, the price of existing habitable real estate, construction materials, and even basic lumber or concrete would experience exponential price increases as billions of people simultaneously attempt to secure or improve their living conditions.

4.2 The Mathematical Ceiling on Purchasing Power

Historically, hyperinflationary events are driven by governments printing fiat currency at an accelerating rate to fund deficits, leading to a boundless increase in the nominal price of goods. In our simulation, the money supply is static and perfectly distributed, which creates a fascinating macroeconomic paradox: hyperinflation with a hard mathematical ceiling.

Because every individual on Earth possesses exactly 62,500 dollars in total wealth and is restricted to an income of 34.24 dollars per day, there is an absolute limit on what sellers can charge for essential goods. If the price of a standard monthly supply of groceries rises to 2,000 dollars due to extreme scarcity, consumers are forced to liquidate portions of their 62,500 dollar wealth pool simply to survive, as their 34.24 dollar daily income (roughly 1,027 dollars a month) is no longer sufficient.

As the prices of food, water, and shelter rapidly inflate to consume the baseline income, individuals begin burning through their capital reserves. However, sellers cannot raise the price of a single unit of housing or a long-term supply of food beyond 62,500 dollars, because it is mathematically impossible for any single buyer to pay more than that amount.

This dynamic creates a catastrophic bottleneck. The clearing price for life-saving medicine, a reliable food supply, or a secure home might theoretically need to be 100,000 dollars to balance the overwhelming global demand against the scarce supply. But because no individual possesses 100,000 dollars, the market fails to clear through monetary means. Prices would hit the absolute ceiling of individual purchasing power, at which point the currency itself begins to lose its utility as a medium of exchange. When the cost of survival equals the total net worth of the individual, money ceases to function as a store of value and becomes mere survival tokens. The mathematical ceiling guarantees that the hyperinflation of essential goods would rapidly

drain the equalized wealth pool, leaving the global population in a state where their equal share of money is virtually powerless against the physical reality of resource scarcity.

5. The Collapse of Luxury and Non-Essential Markets

While the equalization of global wealth would trigger hyperinflation in the markets for essential survival goods, it would simultaneously cause the most violent deflationary collapse in human history across all luxury and non-essential sectors. The existence of a luxury market is entirely predicated on wealth disparity. Luxury goods and high-tier capital assets derive their value from the concentration of disposable income—capital that remains after all biological and foundational needs are met. When wealth is perfectly flattened, the extreme concentrations of capital required to sustain these markets vanish instantly. This section explores the macroeconomic destruction of industries that rely on high-net-worth individuals, illustrating how the equalization mandate would not merely democratize luxury, but mathematically eradicate it.

5.1 Evaporation of High-Tier Capital Assets

To comprehend the collapse of high-tier capital assets, one must apply the mathematical constraints established in section two. On Day One, the maximum purchasing power of any individual on Earth is strictly capped at their equalized net worth of 62,500 dollars, with a daily income limit of 34.24 dollars. This mathematical ceiling instantly destroys the market for any asset priced above this threshold.

Consider the market for superyachts, private aviation, luxury estates, and fine art. Prior to the simulation, a mega-mansion might possess a market valuation of fifty million dollars. This valuation is supported by a subset of the global population possessing sufficient concentrated capital to generate demand for such an asset. In an absolutely egalitarian economy, the demand curve for a fifty million dollar asset drops to absolute zero. Because market value is dictated by what a buyer is willing and able to pay, and because no buyer is legally or physically able to pay more than 62,500 dollars, the valuation of the mega-mansion drops by 99.8 percent overnight, capped by the wealth limit of a single buyer.

One might theorize that individuals could pool their equalized wealth to purchase high-tier assets collectively. A group of one thousand individuals could theoretically combine their net worth to purchase a 62.5 million dollar asset. However, this collective purchasing theory fails due to the burden of operating expenditures. High-tier capital assets are notoriously capital-intensive to maintain. A private jet or a mega-yacht requires millions of dollars annually in fuel, maintenance, docking fees, and specialized crew salaries. Because all future income is equalized

to 34.24 dollars per day, no individual or collective group could afford the operational overhead of these assets without rapidly draining their baseline wealth to zero.

Consequently, high-tier capital assets would become dead weight. Private jets would sit permanently grounded on tarmacs; superyachts would remain docked until they fell into disrepair; mega-mansions would be abandoned or haphazardly partitioned into high-density shelters. The wealth locked within these assets prior to equalization is not redistributed; it is simply annihilated. The market valuation evaporates because the utility of the asset is inextricably linked to the concentrated capital required to operate it.

5.2 Capital Destruction in Specialized Industries

The evaporation of high-tier asset valuations would immediately cascade into the corporate sector, causing the instantaneous bankruptcy of specialized luxury industries. The luxury sector—encompassing haute couture, high-end automotive manufacturing, luxury hospitality, and specialized personal services—operates on a model of low volume and extremely high profit margins. These industries rely on the inelastic demand of affluent consumers who are insensitive to price fluctuations.

Upon the implementation of absolute egalitarianism, the customer base for these industries is mathematically eliminated. A manufacturer of hypercars, whose business model relies on selling a few hundred vehicles a year at three million dollars each, suddenly has zero prospective buyers globally. The raw materials, precision machinery, and specialized supply chains dedicated to producing carbon fiber chassis or hand-stitched leather interiors lose all economic purpose. The factories cannot be easily retooled to produce mass-market essential goods because the machinery and processes are overly specialized. Therefore, the physical capital of these luxury industries becomes stranded.

Furthermore, this collapse results in the immediate destruction of specialized human capital. The global economy employs millions of artisans, engineers, hospitality workers, and service providers whose skills are exclusively tailored to the luxury market. A master horologist who spent decades learning to assemble microscopic watch movements, or a sommelier trained in identifying rare vintages, suddenly possesses a skill set with a market value of zero. Because the population is struggling to secure basic calories and shelter using their 34.24 dollar daily income, there is zero aggregate demand for fine watchmaking or luxury dining.

This capital destruction is not merely a loss for the wealthy; it represents a massive contraction of global economic output. The luxury sector accounts for trillions of dollars in global gross domestic product, providing tax revenues and employing massive international supply chains. The instantaneous bankruptcy of these sectors would trigger widespread default on corporate debt, rendering the corporate bonds and equities associated with these industries worthless.

The physical resources utilized by these industries would eventually be scavenged or repurposed for survival, but the immediate economic shock would be one of profound capital annihilation, demonstrating that a significant portion of global wealth is an illusion sustained only by the architecture of inequality.

6. The Labor Market and the Incentive Problem

The most devastating long-term consequence of the absolute egalitarian simulation lies not in the redistribution of existing capital, but in the permanent equalization of future income. In classical and neoclassical macroeconomic models, the labor market functions through the mechanism of price signaling, where wages represent the price of human effort, skill, and time. Wages are determined by the intersection of labor supply and the marginal revenue product of that labor. By mandating that every individual receives an identical daily income of 34.24 dollars regardless of their economic output, the simulation entirely destroys the price signaling mechanism of the labor market. This artificial wage ceiling and floor creates an environment where the financial incentive to engage in productive labor is mathematically eradicated. This section analyzes the behavioral and structural collapse of the labor market when the core economic driver of human action, differential compensation, is removed.

6.1 Decoupling Effort from Compensation

To understand the immediate behavioral shift of the global workforce, we must apply the economic concept of the disutility of labor. In labor economics, work is fundamentally understood as an activity that generates disutility; it requires the sacrifice of leisure, energy, and comfort. Rational economic actors are willing to endure this disutility solely because they are compensated with wages, which provide the utility to purchase goods and services. The fundamental equation of labor participation can be expressed in simple ASCII terms as U = W - D, where U is net utility, W is the utility derived from wages, and D is the disutility of the labor performed.

In a functioning market, employers must offer higher wages (W) to entice workers to take on jobs with higher disutility (D). However, under the parameters of absolute egalitarianism, W is a constant 34.24 dollars for every human being on Earth, whether they work a grueling fourteen-hour shift in a coal mine or choose to remain in bed all day. Because W is completely decoupled from economic output, the rational economic actor seeking to maximize their net utility (U) has only one available variable to manipulate: they must minimize the disutility of labor (D).

The mathematical and behavioral result is an immediate, global race to the bottom in labor output. If an individual receives the exact same compensation for doing nothing as they do for performing exhausting physical labor, the rational choice is to do nothing. While a small fraction

of the population might continue to work out of a sense of moral obligation, habit, or intrinsic passion for their specific craft, a complex global economy cannot be sustained by volunteerism. The vast majority of the global workforce would immediately recognize the futility of their exertion. The result would be unprecedented absenteeism, the abandonment of posts, and a catastrophic drop in aggregate global productivity. The decoupling of effort from compensation breaks the fundamental social contract of the modern economy, replacing the incentive to produce with the incentive to minimize exertion.

6.2 The Vacuum in High-Risk and High-Skill Professions

The collapse of labor output would be most immediate and most catastrophic in professions characterized by high risk, extreme physical toll, or intense psychological stress. In standard labor economics, the theory of compensating wage differentials explains why certain jobs pay a premium. A deep-sea welder, a high-voltage electrical grid lineman, or a sanitation worker handling hazardous materials must be paid significantly more than the baseline average to compensate for the inherent danger and unpleasantness of their roles.

Under absolute egalitarianism, this wage premium is illegal. A high-voltage lineman receives the same 34.24 dollars a day as a person who contributes zero economic output. Confronted with the prospect of risking electrocution for zero financial advantage, the lineman will rationally abandon the profession. This dynamic triggers an immediate labor vacuum in the most critical, foundational, and dangerous sectors of the global economy. Without a financial premium to offset the risk of death or injury, the maintenance of modern society's physical infrastructure simply ceases.

A parallel, though slightly delayed, vacuum would occur in high-skill professions that require massive upfront investments in human capital. Consider the pathway to becoming a neurosurgeon, which requires over a decade of rigorous higher education, hundreds of thousands of dollars in debt or opportunity cost, and routinely involves highly stressful, life-or-death operating environments. The economic justification for enduring this grueling process is the expectation of high future financial reward. If the lifetime earning potential of a neurosurgeon is capped at the exact same level as an entry-level retail clerk, the pipeline of future medical professionals immediately dries up.

Furthermore, currently practicing high-skill professionals would quickly exit the workforce. The psychological burden of performing complex surgeries, engineering structural load-bearing architecture, or managing critical logistical software carries a high disutility. When the compensatory reward is reduced to the global average, the liability and stress of these positions far outweigh the benefits. The equalization mandate does not simply lower the pay of experts; it eliminates the structural justification for expertise itself. The result is a rapid brain drain from

all complex economic sectors, leaving hospitals without specialists, power grids without engineers, and supply chains without logistical directors.

7. Systemic Failure of Global Supply Chains

The theoretical withdrawal of labor, as detailed in the preceding analysis of the incentive problem, would not manifest merely as a statistical decline in gross domestic product. It would trigger the rapid, physical deterioration of the modern world. Contemporary global supply chains and infrastructure networks are highly complex, deeply interconnected thermodynamic systems. They are not self-sustaining; they require the continuous injection of human energy, coordinated through the price signaling of the labor market, to stave off physical entropy. When the financial incentive to provide this labor is universally neutralized by a fixed daily income of 34.24 dollars, the human capital required to maintain these systems evaporates. This section examines how the labor vacuum translates into a cascading physical collapse, starting with the baseline decay of critical infrastructure and culminating in the total paralysis of global shipping and logistics.

7.1 Critical Infrastructure and the Maintenance Deficit

The modern economy operates on a foundational layer of critical infrastructure: electrical grids, water purification and distribution systems, telecommunications networks, and sanitation facilities. A fundamental law of physical capital is that it is in a constant state of depreciation. To model this in standard macroeconomic terms, the capital stock of the next period is equal to the current capital stock minus depreciation, plus new investment and maintenance. In ASCII mathematical notation, this is expressed as K(t+1) = K(t) * (1 - d) + I, where K is the capital stock, d is the rate of physical depreciation, and I represents the labor and resources invested in maintenance.

Under the conditions of absolute egalitarianism, the variable I drops precipitously toward zero. The technicians, engineers, and physical laborers who perform routine maintenance on high-voltage transformers, monitor water pressure valves, and repair severed fiber-optic cables face the exact same disutility-to-compensation ratio described in section six. With no financial premium to incentivize working in hazardous, exhausting, or highly technical maintenance roles, the workforce required to keep the infrastructure operational abandons their posts.

As maintenance investment (I) goes to zero, the equation simplifies to exponential decay driven purely by depreciation (d). However, in tightly coupled infrastructure systems, failure is rarely linear; it is cascading. An electrical grid operates on strict frequency tolerances and requires constant, active balancing of supply and demand by human operators. If a local substation fails

due to lack of maintenance, the load is transferred to surrounding infrastructure, which, also suffering from a maintenance deficit, quickly overheats and trips off-line.

Within days of the Day One demand shock and the subsequent labor withdrawal, rolling blackouts would become systemic global grid failures. The loss of electricity immediately paralyzes dependent infrastructure. Municipal water pumps cease to function, halting the delivery of potable water. Telecommunications server farms, reliant on constant grid power and active cooling, shut down, blinding the digital economy. Sewage treatment facilities overflow. The equalization mandate inadvertently proves that the physical comfort and technological baseline of the modern era are entirely subsidized by the specialized labor of a fraction of the population, coordinated by unequal financial rewards.

7.2 The Halt of Global Logistics and Shipping

If critical infrastructure provides the stationary foundation of the global economy, the logistics network acts as its circulatory system. Approximately ninety percent of globally traded goods are transported by the maritime shipping industry, heavily reliant on massive container vessels, specialized port facilities, and a global network of rail and freight trucks. The spatial distribution of humanity is deeply disconnected from the spatial distribution of agricultural and industrial production. Cities are consumption engines that rely on a continuous, uninterrupted inflow of physical goods from thousands of miles away.

The maritime shipping industry is characterized by some of the most grueling working conditions in the modern world. Seafarers endure months of isolation, physical danger, and psychological stress. The sole economic justification for this lifestyle is a wage significantly higher than what could be earned in a domestic, land-based occupation in their home countries. When the simulation equalizes all global income to 34.24 dollars a day, the comparative advantage of seafaring is instantly destroyed.

Upon reaching the nearest port, crews would rationally abandon their vessels. The immediate consequence is the freezing of the global maritime fleet. Massive container ships, carrying millions of tons of grain, medical supplies, and consumer goods, would become dead in the water or bottlenecked at anchorages. Furthermore, the specialized heavy machinery operators required to unload these vessels—the gantry crane operators and stevedores—would also withdraw their labor, leaving ports entirely paralyzed.

This logistical cardiac arrest immediately severs the supply lines to urban centers. The breakdown of the "last mile" delivery system, dependent on truck drivers and warehouse logistics coordinators who also lack any financial incentive to work, ensures that even goods successfully unloaded cannot reach the consumer. The tragic paradox of Day One hyperinflation is that while billions of people would possess the localized wealth to demand food, millions of

tons of that exact food would simultaneously rot inside stationary shipping containers and immobile freight trains. The global economy would devolve from a highly integrated network of mutual dependency into hyper-localized, disconnected pockets of extreme scarcity, proving that wealth without the logistical apparatus to transport resources is functionally meaningless.

8. The Stagnation of Technological and Medical Innovation

While the immediate collapse of supply chains and physical infrastructure represents a catastrophic regression of current living standards, the mandate of absolute egalitarianism would also dictate the permanent cessation of future human progress. Technological and medical innovation are not autonomous forces of nature; they are highly resource-intensive economic processes driven by the anticipation of outsized future returns. The modern era of rapid technological acceleration is entirely dependent on the legal and economic mechanisms that allow inventors, researchers, and investors to capture and concentrate the wealth generated by their discoveries. By mandating that all future income and profits are instantly socialized and distributed equally across the global population, the simulation destroys the fundamental economic architecture that funds and incentivizes innovation. This section explores how a perfectly flat economy mathematically guarantees the stagnation of research and development, effectively freezing human technological capacity in place.

8.1 The Risk-Reward Asymmetry in Research and Development

To understand why innovation would halt, we must analyze the economic profile of research and development using the framework of expected value. In standard financial modeling, the decision to pursue a new technology or medical treatment is based on calculating the expected value of the project. This can be expressed in basic ASCII notation as EV = (P(s) * R) - (P(f) * C), where EV is the expected value, P(s) is the probability of success, R is the financial reward of success, P(f) is the probability of failure, and C is the cost of development.

The defining characteristic of cutting-edge research, particularly in pharmaceuticals, aerospace, and advanced computing, is that the probability of failure is extraordinarily high, and the upfront cost of development is massive. For example, bringing a novel pharmaceutical drug to market often requires over ten years of trials and billions of dollars in sunk costs, with a failure rate exceeding ninety percent.

In a capitalist framework, rational economic actors are willing to endure this extreme risk profile because the financial reward for a successful patent is astronomical. A successful drug can generate tens of billions of dollars in concentrated revenue, offsetting the costs of the numerous failed attempts. The potential for a massive, unequal accumulation of wealth is the only mathematical justification for taking the risk.

Under the rules of absolute egalitarianism, the reward variable in the expected value equation is forcefully reduced to zero. If a brilliant biochemist invents a cure for a widespread disease, the intellectual property cannot be monetized for personal or corporate gain. Any theoretical economic value generated by the cure is instantly diluted and distributed equally among the 8 billion people on Earth. The inventor's daily income remains strictly capped at the global average of 34.24 dollars.

Because the reward is zero, the expected value equation becomes EV = (P(s) * 0) - (P(f) * C), which mathematically guarantees that the expected value of any innovation requiring cost or effort is always strictly negative. Consequently, rational economic actors will immediately cease all research and development. The immense intellectual exertion, psychological stress, and time required to push the boundaries of human knowledge offer severe personal disutility with absolutely no compensatory financial utility. The drive to invent is replaced by the rational necessity to minimize effort, resulting in an immediate and permanent freeze in technological and medical advancement.

8.2 Capital Allocation in a Perfectly Flat Economy

Even if one were to assume that human altruism could theoretically overcome the lack of personal financial incentive, the physical mechanics of capital allocation in a perfectly flat economy render large-scale innovation impossible. Advanced technological development requires the immense concentration of capital. Building a next-generation semiconductor fabrication plant, constructing a particle accelerator, or funding large-scale clinical trials requires the pooling of billions of dollars.

In a market economy, this capital is concentrated and deployed through institutional mechanisms such as venture capital firms, investment banks, corporate retained earnings, and public stock offerings. These institutions aggregate wealth from investors who are willing to defer current consumption in exchange for a yield on their investment.

The simulation of absolute egalitarianism permanently outlaws these mechanisms. On Day One, total global wealth is fractured into 8 billion equal slivers of 62,500 dollars. Furthermore, because all future income is equalized, the concept of a return on investment is illegal. If an individual were to invest a portion of their 62,500 dollar net worth into a new technological venture, they would bear the total risk of losing their capital if the venture fails. However, if the venture succeeds, the profits are seized and distributed equally to the entire global population. This extreme asymmetry makes voluntary investment an act of financial suicide.

Without the ability to offer a return on investment, the only way to fund a billion-dollar research facility would be to convince thousands of individuals to voluntarily donate a massive portion of their newly acquired net worth purely out of charity. Given the conditions established

in earlier sections, where the global population is simultaneously battling hyperinflation for essential calories and basic shelter, the marginal propensity to donate to theoretical research projects would be virtually nonexistent.

Therefore, absolute egalitarianism creates a structural capital trap. The wealth required to fund the future exists in the aggregate, but it is distributed too thinly to be useful for large-scale industrial or scientific endeavors, and the legal framework prevents it from ever being pooled again. The sophisticated laboratories, university research wings, and corporate development centers would immediately run out of funding. Humanity would be left with an equal share of a stagnant technological ecosystem, entirely incapable of solving new medical crises, adapting to environmental changes, or improving the efficiency of resource extraction, proving that progress inherently requires the unequal concentration and deployment of surplus wealth.

9. The Breakdown of Institutional Finance and Investment

Institutional finance serves as the central nervous system of the modern global economy. Its primary function is the efficient allocation of capital, moving surplus wealth through space and time to match those who possess excess capital with those who require it for productive enterprise. This system is entirely predicated on the time value of money, the legal enforcement of contracts, and the ability to capture a private return on investment. The theoretical implementation of absolute egalitarianism represents a terminal event for this entire ecosystem. By mandating perfect wealth equality and strictly capping all future income, the simulation permanently outlaws the fundamental mechanics of saving, lending, and investing. This section details the instantaneous dissolution of global financial architecture, focusing on the freezing of credit markets and the mathematical annihilation of corporate equity.

9.1 The End of Debt and Credit Markets

The global credit market, encompassing everything from sovereign bonds and corporate debt to consumer mortgages and auto loans, operates on a simple premise: a lender sacrifices current liquidity and assumes risk in exchange for a future premium, known as interest. The foundational equation of finance, the time value of money, dictates that a unit of currency today is worth more than a unit of currency tomorrow. To calculate the present value of a future payout, markets rely on the discount rate formula, expressed in ASCII as PV = FV / (1 + r)^n, where PV is present value, FV is future value, r is the interest rate, and n is the number of periods.

Under the parameters of absolute egalitarianism, the interest rate (r) is effectively forced to zero, and the legal mechanism to collect it is destroyed. Because all future income is pooled and distributed perfectly equally at 34.24 dollars per day, it is mathematically impossible for a lender

to collect interest. If an individual were to lend a portion of their 62,500 dollar baseline wealth to a neighbor, any interest paid by the neighbor would constitute excess income for the lender, which the system would immediately confiscate and redistribute globally. Recognizing that lending guarantees an assumption of default risk with a legally mandated return of zero, all voluntary lending would instantly cease.

Furthermore, the borrower's side of the equation is equally constrained. The capacity to service debt is tied to future cash flows. If every human being is restricted to a daily income of 34.24 dollars, no individual possesses the surplus cash flow required to make principal and interest payments on significant loans. The immediate consequence is a universal, simultaneous default on all existing global debt.

The evaporation of the credit market triggers a fatal liquidity crisis. Modern commerce relies heavily on short-term credit, such as commercial paper and revolving credit facilities, to bridge the gap between purchasing inventory and receiving revenue. Without access to short-term borrowing, supply chains lose their elasticity. A farmer cannot borrow to buy seed for the upcoming season; a manufacturer cannot borrow to purchase raw materials. The cessation of debt is not merely the end of banks; it is the immediate strangulation of the working capital that permits the physical production of goods.

9.2 Dissolution of Corporate Structures and Stock Exchanges

Following the collapse of the credit markets, the global equity markets would face mathematical annihilation. A share of corporate stock is not a physical commodity; it is a financial instrument representing a legal claim on a fractional share of a corporation's future cash flows and retained earnings. The valuation of corporate equity is traditionally determined by the Discounted Cash Flow model, expressed simply as DCF = CF1 / (1 + r)^1 + CF2 / (1 + r)^2 + ... and so on, where CF represents the cash flow expected in each future period.

The absolute egalitarian mandate destroys the DCF valuation by altering the destination of the cash flows (CF). If a highly successful multinational corporation generates a billion dollars in profit, the simulation dictates that this profit cannot be distributed to a concentrated group of shareholders, nor can it be retained by the corporation to increase its market valuation. Instead, the profit is instantly socialized and distributed equally among the 8 billion members of the global population.

Because the financial return on a share of stock is legally severed from the owner of that stock, the specific ownership of equity becomes economically meaningless. A share of Apple or Saudi Aramco would yield the exact same personal financial return as owning nothing at all—the global average. Consequently, the variable CF in the valuation model drops to zero for the individual investor. When future cash flows are zero, the present value of the asset is zero.

The moment the equalization mandate goes into effect, the capitalization of the New York Stock Exchange, the Nasdaq, the Tokyo Stock Exchange, and all other global bourses would instantly plummet to absolute zero. Trillions of dollars in theoretical paper wealth would vanish.

This financial destruction would directly lead to the dissolution of the corporate structure itself. The modern corporation is legally designed around fiduciary duty, the obligation of a board of directors and executive management to maximize shareholder value. When shareholders no longer receive exclusive financial benefit from the company's operations, the concept of fiduciary duty becomes a legal paradox. Without the ability to raise capital through debt or equity, and without the profit motive to guide executive decision-making, multinational conglomerates would immediately fracture. The complex legal and financial frameworks that allow thousands of individuals to coordinate their labor and capital across global distances would dissolve, leaving behind stranded physical assets and paralyzed organizational hierarchies.

10. Human Nature and the Emergence of Shadow Economies

The fundamental failure of the absolute egalitarian mandate lies in its direct contradiction with praxeology, the deductive study of human action. Economic systems are not merely mathematical constructs; they are aggregate reflections of human psychology, subjective valuation, and survival instincts. Human beings inherently value their time, their specialized skills, and the goods they produce differently based on scarcity and utility. When a top-down, strictly enforced macroeconomic framework attempts to artificially mandate that all human output is perfectly equal in value, rational actors will not passively accept systemic collapse. Instead, human ingenuity and self-interest will instantly cooperate to bypass the artificial constraints. The official, equalized economy would rapidly become a hollow, bureaucratic shell, while the actual exchange of goods and services would migrate into the dark. This section examines the spontaneous generation of shadow economies and the inevitable, rapid resurgence of the very inequality the simulation attempted to eradicate.

10.1 Barter Systems and Alternative Currencies

The official fiat currency in this simulation is legally constrained by the 34.24 dollar daily income limit and economically paralyzed by the hyperinflation of essential goods. Consequently, the official currency would suffer a complete loss of utility as a medium of exchange. When a currency can no longer effectively facilitate trade, market participants spontaneously abandon it. The immediate, localized reaction to this monetary failure is a regression to a barter economy. If a skilled surgeon is prohibited from earning more than the baseline average in the official economy, a patient in desperate need of a life-saving operation will not offer official fiat

currency. Instead, the patient will offer physical goods, future favors, or access to secure shelter in exchange for the surgeon's specialized labor.

However, direct barter is highly inefficient due to the economic problem known as the double coincidence of wants. For a transaction to occur, both parties must possess exactly what the other desires at the exact moment of exchange. Because a modern, complex society cannot function on direct barter, alternative mediums of exchange would spontaneously emerge within days of Day One.

Historically, when fiat systems collapse, humans revert to commodity money. Physical assets with high value density, durability, and divisibility, such as gold, silver, ammunition, or even non-perishable luxury goods like alcohol and tobacco, would immediately become the new basis of trade. Furthermore, given the technological baseline of the modern era, it is highly probable that decentralized, privacy-focused cryptocurrencies would experience massive, unregulated adoption. The defining feature of these alternative currencies is that they operate entirely outside the purview of the egalitarian mandate. A digital ledger that cannot be monitored, or a piece of physical gold that can be hidden, allows individuals to conduct transactions, accumulate wealth, and compensate specialized labor without the system instantly confiscating and redistributing the surplus. The shadow economy would quickly develop its own pricing mechanisms, supply chains, and credit markets, completely invisible to the official egalitarian tracking systems.

10.2 The Rapid Re-establishment of Inequality

The moment a shadow currency facilitates the first illicit transaction, the mandate of absolute equality is broken. Because the shadow economy operates on natural principles of supply and demand, unhindered by artificial wage ceilings, human inequality would reassert itself with violent speed. In any complex system of human endeavor, output and competence are not distributed equally. Economic distributions naturally follow power laws, most notably the Pareto principle, which dictates that roughly eighty percent of outcomes are determined by twenty percent of causes, or in economic terms, a small minority of the population generates the vast majority of economic value.

In the shadow economy, individuals who possess highly inelastic, necessary skills will quickly accumulate immense shadow wealth. The agricultural engineer who knows how to maximize crop yields in a degraded supply chain, the cybersecurity expert who can secure untraceable digital transactions, and the logistics coordinator who can physically move goods through paralyzed ports will charge massive premiums for their services. Because these individuals are operating outside the official 34.24 dollar limit, they will begin to hoard the alternative currencies, be it gold, digital tokens, or critical physical commodities.

Conversely, individuals who lack highly specialized skills, or those who simply consumed their equalized 62,500 dollar net worth during the initial hyperinflationary panic, will find themselves entirely impoverished in the shadow economy. They will be forced to sell their labor in the black market for whatever clearing price the market dictates, which, absent any regulatory floor, could be equivalent to mere subsistence.

The profound paradox of absolute egalitarianism is that by destroying the legal frameworks of a mixed market economy, it strips away all existing social safety nets, labor protections, and progressive tax mechanisms. In attempting to mandate perfect equality, the system inadvertently creates a hyper-capitalist, anarchic black market. Within a matter of months, the global wealth distribution would bifurcate radically. A new shadow elite would emerge, commanding vast resources and private security forces funded by illicit capital, while the majority of the population would be trapped in unregulated, unprotected shadow poverty. The simulation demonstrates that inequality is not merely an artifact of specific political systems, but a naturally occurring equilibrium in any environment where human beings are free to exchange subjective value.

11. Geopolitical Consequences and the Role of the State

The theoretical implementation of absolute egalitarianism inherently requires a central authority of unprecedented global reach to execute the Day One redistribution and to continuously enforce the ongoing equalization of future income. In classical political economy, the state exists to define and enforce property rights, manage sovereign currency, and maintain a monopoly on the legitimate use of violence. However, the macroeconomic conditions created by this simulation strip the state of the very mechanisms required to function. By destroying the tax base, neutralizing the financial incentives of the military and police forces, and paralyzing international trade, the egalitarian mandate mathematically guarantees the dissolution of the modern nation-state. This section explores the geopolitical collapse of sovereign entities as they attempt to enforce a macroeconomic paradox, ultimately yielding their authority to localized shadow factions.

11.1 Enforcing the Unenforceable State Mechanisms

To maintain the parameters of absolute egalitarianism, the state must transition into an apparatus of total financial surveillance. If every individual is legally restricted to a daily income of 34.24 dollars, the state must monitor every human transaction, barter exchange, and labor agreement on Earth to ensure no surplus value is captured and hidden. This requires a bureaucratic and policing force of unimaginable scale. However, the simulation immediately

introduces a fatal contradiction regarding the funding and motivation of this enforcement apparatus.

A government funds its operations through taxation and sovereign debt issuance. As established in section nine, the sovereign debt market ceases to exist on Day One. Furthermore, the traditional tax base is completely eradicated. With corporate profits socialized globally and individual income strictly capped at a poverty-level baseline, the state cannot extract sufficient revenue to maintain complex bureaucratic operations. The mathematical equation for state revenue can be simplified as $R = t * Y$, where R is revenue, t is the tax rate, and Y is the taxable economic output. Because all productive output (Y) has migrated to the untaxable shadow economy detailed in section ten, official state revenue (R) effectively drops to zero.

Even if the state could theoretically print currency to fund itself, the incentive problem destroys the human element of state power. The state relies on human agents—police officers, tax inspectors, and military personnel—to enforce its mandates. Under the rules of the simulation, the highest-ranking general and the lowest-ranking beat cop receive the exact same 34.24 dollar daily income as the civilians they are policing.

When a state agent, struggling to secure hyper-inflated essential goods on a fixed income, encounters a black market operated by the newly emerged shadow elite, classical public choice theory dictates their behavioral response. The shadow elite will offer the state agent bribes in alternative, high-utility currencies—such as gold, antibiotics, or decentralized digital tokens—that far exceed the purchasing power of their official baseline income. Because the legal penalty for accepting a bribe is meaningless in a system where legal compliance guarantees starvation, the rational economic choice for the state agent is immediate corruption. The police and military forces will defect to the shadow economy, functioning as private security for those who control illicit capital. The state, having lost both its funding and its monopoly on violence, rapidly roasts from the inside out, becoming an unenforceable, hollow legal fiction.

11.2 The Collapse of National Borders and Sovereign Economies

The Westphalian system of international relations is heavily predicated on economic disparity, comparative advantage, and the spatial distribution of critical resources. Nations leverage their unique concentrations of capital, specialized labor pools, and natural geography to engage in global trade and project geopolitical power. The equalization of global wealth instantly flattens this geopolitical landscape, rendering the concept of a sovereign national economy meaningless.

Prior to the simulation, the geopolitical hierarchy was largely defined by the strength of national fiat currencies, such as the United States Dollar acting as the global reserve currency. When all wealth is liquidated and distributed evenly to 8 billion people, national currencies lose their

sovereign backing. The ability of a central bank to manage monetary policy, control inflation through interest rates, or stimulate a domestic economy is entirely neutralized by the rigid global mandate. Sovereign monetary policy is dead, and with it, the primary tool of macroeconomic statecraft.

Furthermore, the collapse of global logistics discussed in section seven halts all international trade. Nations that rely heavily on exporting manufactured goods to fund the importation of food and energy, such as Japan or South Korea, face immediate existential crises. Conversely, nations that are net exporters of raw commodities can no longer sell them, as the international mechanisms for capital transfer and physical shipping have been destroyed.

Without a functioning national economy to protect, and without the tax revenue to fund border security, customs enforcement, or standing armies, national borders dissolve into irrelevance. A border is merely a geographic line enforced by concentrated state capital; when that capital is dispersed, the line cannot be held. As federal governments collapse under the weight of bankruptcy and hyperinflation, geopolitical organization will rapidly devolve. Power will hyper-localize around the shadow economies, forming neofeudal enclaves centered on critical, defensible physical resources like freshwater reservoirs, arable land, or remaining functional power plants. The utopian attempt to create a unified, perfectly equal global citizenry ultimately fractures the world into thousands of disconnected, violently competitive micro-states, driven entirely by the harsh physical realities of resource scarcity.

12. Conclusion: The Paradox of Perfect Equality

The macroeconomic simulation of absolute egalitarianism serves as a profound stress test for the fundamental laws of human action and global economics. By artificially forcing the total equalization of all existing wealth and strictly capping all future income, the simulation reveals the hidden, structural dependencies of modern civilization. The overarching conclusion of this thought experiment is a deeply counterintuitive economic reality: the attempt to mandate perfect global wealth equality does not democratize prosperity; rather, it mathematically and behaviorally guarantees the total destruction of wealth itself. The paradox of perfect equality is that the very mechanisms required to sustain human life at a global scale—price discovery, risk assumption, complex supply chains, and technological innovation—are inherently fueled by the differential, unequal assignment of value. When that differential is flattened to zero, the economic engine of humanity ceases to function, resulting in a rapid regression to catastrophic scarcity.

12.1 Summary of Systemic Failures

Tracing the chronological trajectory of the simulation highlights a cascading series of systemic failures, each triggered by the violation of basic economic principles. The initial event, Day One, demonstrates the catastrophic consequences of a universal demand shock. By instantly granting the entire global population the maximum marginal propensity to consume without a proportional increase in physical supply, the simulation guarantees the immediate hyperinflation of essential survival goods. The mathematical ceiling on individual purchasing power ensures that markets fail to clear, transforming a localized crisis into a global paralysis of foundational resource distribution.

Simultaneously, the demand side of the macroeconomic equation reveals the illusory nature of concentrated capital. The instant evaporation of luxury markets, high-tier real estate, and specialized corporate equity proves that a massive percentage of global wealth is entirely subjective. It exists only because inequality permits the concentration of disposable income. When capital is perfectly diluted, the valuation of the modern world plummets by trillions of dollars overnight, leaving stranded physical assets and paralyzed industries in its wake.

However, the terminal failure of the system occurs on the supply side, driven by the collapse of human incentive. By decoupling economic output from financial compensation, the egalitarian mandate maximizes the disutility of labor. Without the price signaling of variable wages to incentivize high-risk, high-skill, and physically exhausting work, the labor force rationally abandons critical infrastructure, maritime shipping, and agricultural production. The resulting physical decay of the global supply chain is unavoidable. Furthermore, the mathematical destruction of expected value in research and development, coupled with the illegality of capital allocation and credit markets, permanently halts human technological and medical progress.

Ultimately, the simulation demonstrates that human nature will not submit to artificial economic suicide. The spontaneous emergence of shadow economies, barter systems, and alternative currencies proves that humans will naturally bypass a system that refuses to recognize the subjective value of their labor. The rapid re-establishment of extreme inequality within these black markets highlights the inescapable reality that disparity is a naturally occurring equilibrium in any complex system of exchange.

12.2 Lessons for Modern Policy and Wealth Redistribution

While absolute egalitarianism is a theoretical extreme, the systemic failures observed in this simulation provide vital, practical lessons for contemporary macroeconomic policy and the design of wealth redistribution frameworks. The primary takeaway is the critical distinction between wealth as a static, physical hoard and wealth as a dynamic, thermodynamic process. Traditional utopian models often treat global wealth as a pie to be sliced and distributed. This

simulation proves that wealth is not the pie itself, but the oven that bakes it; dismantling the oven to distribute the parts leaves everyone with cold machinery and nothing to eat.

For modern policymakers, this underscores the necessity of maintaining the economic gradient. In physics, work can only be performed when there is a difference in potential energy—water flows from high elevation to low, generating power. An economy functions on the same principle. The disparity in potential wealth is the gravity that pulls human effort into complex, difficult, and risky endeavors. If taxation or redistribution policies become so aggressive that they flatten this gradient entirely, the flow of human capital stops. Therefore, progressive taxation and corporate regulation must be carefully calibrated to ensure that the marginal financial reward for innovation and labor always exceeds the disutility and risk assumed.

However, recognizing the necessity of inequality does not justify unregulated, absolute disparity. Just as flattening the economic gradient stops the flow, allowing extreme concentrations of wealth can freeze capital in unproductive, rent-seeking assets, stifling market velocity and causing severe social destabilization.

The optimal policy framework, informed by the failures of the extreme egalitarian model, should focus on raising the economic floor rather than aggressively lowering the economic ceiling. Concepts such as Universal Basic Income, robust public education, and subsidized foundational healthcare are effective because they seek to eradicate absolute poverty without destroying the variable incentive structures above the baseline. By providing a safety net, these policies enhance labor mobility and entrepreneurial risk-taking, as the penalty for failure is no longer starvation. The goal of a functional, moral economic system is not the pursuit of perfect equality of outcome, which inevitably ends in systemic collapse, but the maximization of absolute living standards through the careful, regulated harnessing of human inequality.

13. References

Credit Suisse Research Institute. (2025). Global Wealth Report. UBS Group AG. https://www.ubs.com/global/en/family-office-uhnw/reports/global-wealth-report.html

Dalio, R. (2013). How the Economic Machine Works in 30 Minutes. YouTube. https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=PHe0bXAIuk0

Hayek, F. A. (1945). The Use of Knowledge in Society. The American Economic Review, 35(4), 519-530. [suspicious link removed]

Lee, H. L., Padmanabhan, V., & Whang, S. (1997). Information Distortion in a Supply Chain: The Bullwhip Effect. Management Science, 43(4), 546-558. https://pubsonline.informs.org/doi/10.1287/mnsc.43.4.546

Mankiw, N. G. (2024). Principles of Macroeconomics (10th ed.). Cengage Learning. https://www.cengage.com/c/principles-of-macroeconomics-10e-mankiw/

Milanovic, B. (2016). Global Inequality: A New Approach for the Age of Globalization. Harvard University Press. https://www.hup.harvard.edu/books/9780674737136

Smith, A. (1776). An Inquiry into the Nature and Causes of the Wealth of Nations. W. Strahan and T. Cadell. https://www.gutenberg.org/ebooks/3300

Von Mises, L. (1949). Human Action: A Treatise on Economics. Yale University Press. https://mises.org/library/book/human-action

World Bank Group. (2025). Poverty and Shared Prosperity Report. World Bank Publications. https://www.worldbank.org/en/publication/poverty-and-shared-prosperity

The author has published over 5,500 AI-assisted papers at: https://www.researchgate.net/profile/Douglas-Youvan/research . Google Scholar has indexed these preprints, and if you want a particular reference, the AI mode of a Google search (Gemini) has efficiently catalogued these preprints.

Absolute Egalitarianism:
A Macroeconomic Simulation of Perfect Global Wealth and Future Income Distribution
$62,500
UNIVERSAL BASELINE DISTRIBUTION
Day One:
The Great Reset
Equalized Economy
The Incentive Problem
Supply Chain Failure
BREAD:
$5,000 / loaf
SIZE
$5,000
PRICES
$5,000
INCOME:
$34.24
The Emergence of
Shadow Economies